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The Mayer-Bol za problem of the calculus of variations is described in relation to solution of problems of the theory of optimum systems. The necessary conditions for optimization of processes are established and an investigation of optimum states in linear systems is given.
```

1. Formulation of the problem. Let a system of $n$ ordinary differential equations of the first order be given

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}=\dot{x}_{s}-f_{s}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, \ldots u_{m}, t\right)=0 \quad(s=1, \ldots, n) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the finite relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k}=\psi_{k}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, t\right)=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, r<m) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing the behavior of a certain mechanical system. Here, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are the coordinates of the system, and the quantities $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ will be called the control parameters, according to the common terminology. We shall consider that the state of the system at the initial time $t=t_{0}$ is given by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{s}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{s}^{\circ} \quad(s=1, \ldots, n) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we shall require that the coordinates $x_{s}(T)$ at a certain, not necessarily fixed time $t=T$ be related by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{l}=\Phi_{l}\left[x_{1}(T), \ldots, x_{n}(T), T\right]=0 \quad(l=1, \ldots, p \leqslant n) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We formulate the problem of optimization in the following way.

Determine the functions $x_{s}(t)(s=1, \ldots, n)$ satisfying Equations (1.1) and the initial conditions (1.3), and determine the control parameters $u_{k}(t)(k=1, \ldots, m)$ connected by the relations (1.2) in such a way that the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J\left[x_{1}(T), \ldots, x_{n}(T), T\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume a stationary value, with the conditions (1.4) being satisfied at the time $t=T$.

This formulation of the problem is different from the general formulation investigated by Pontriagin [3]. The maximum principle provides the necessary condition for the minimum value of the functional. The solution of the Mayer-Bolza problem in a similar formulation had been given in the lectures of L.I. Lur'e, the contents of which have been extensively used in the following arguments.

A trajectory in the $n+m$ dimensional space $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ which satisfies the conditions formulated above will be called the extremal.

An essential property of the problems of optimization is the existence of limitations imposed on the parameters $u_{k}(t)$ and, in general, on the coordinates $x_{s}(t)$. We shall assume now only the existence of limitations on the parameters of control. In this case it is necessary to consider discontinuous parameters $u_{k}(t)$. Therefore, in the following the functions $x_{s}(t)$ will be considered to be continuous, and the parameters $u_{k}(t)$ and the derivatives of the coordinates $x_{s}(t)$ will be considered to be functions with a finite number of finite discontinuities in the investigated interval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T$.

The formulation given above extends over a large class of optimization problems. Thus, for instance, in the optimization with respect to highspeed performance, the functional $J$ is to be assumed in the form $J=T$, with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{l}=x_{l}(T)-x_{l}^{T}=0 \quad(l=1, \ldots, n) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which corresponds to the problem of optimization of the period of transition of the system from the given initial state (1.3) into the state with the coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{s}(T)=x_{s}{ }^{T} \quad(s=1, \ldots, n) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $x_{s}{ }^{T}$ may be, obviously, equal to zero. In problems of this type the time of transition $T$ is not fixed. If $T$ is prescribed in advance, an arbitrary coordinate $x_{a}(T)$ may be prescribed at $t=T$ and,
thus, $J=x_{\alpha}(T)$. The remaining coordinates may be considered as being given, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{l}=x_{l}(T)-x_{l}{ }^{T}=0 \quad(l=1, \ldots, \alpha-1, \alpha+1, \ldots, n) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider now the classical problem of Mayer [2].
If $J=J\left[x_{1}(T), \ldots, x_{n}(T)\right]$, then a certain function of the coordinates at a fixed time $t=T$ is optimized. Using the functionals of the type

$$
\int_{0}^{T} F\left[x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), u_{1}(t), \ldots, u_{m}(t), t\right] d t
$$

We can reduce the problem to the simpler problem of Lagrange of the calculus of variations [2]. This case is obviously al so included in the formulation given above. In fact, introducing a new coordinate $x_{n+1}(t)$ satisfying the equation

$$
g_{n+1}=\dot{x}_{n+1}-F\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, \ldots u_{m}, t\right]=0
$$

we are led to the problem of optimization of the value $x_{n+1}(T)$ of this coordinate at the finite time $t=T$. An analogous assumption may be used in order to take into account a condition of the type

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \varphi\left[x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), u_{1}(t), \ldots, u_{m}(t), t\right] d t=c
$$

This condition can be written in the form $\Phi_{n+1}=x_{n+1}(T)-c$. Here, the coordinate $x_{n+1}(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$
g_{n+1}=\dot{x}_{n+1}-\varphi\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, \iota\right]=0
$$

This statement could be considerably generalized.
The examples given here confirm our proposition that a large number of optimization problems may be formulated in the way described above. In this, the forms of the functional $J$ and the conditions (1.4) usually reflect the physical meaning of the optimization problem.
2. Necessary conditions of extremum of the functional $J$. We construct the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=J+\int_{t_{0}}^{T}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s}(t) g_{s}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_{k}(t) \Psi_{k}\right\} d t+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \rho_{l} \Phi_{l} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{s}(t), \mu_{k}(t)$, and $\rho_{l}$ are undetermined multipliers of Lagrange. Since its right-hand side terms are equal to zero, the conditions of extremum of $J$ and $I$ are identical.

Calculating the variations of the functional $I$ we shall assume that, in the interval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T$, one point $t=t^{*}$ exists where the control parameters become discontinuous. The existence of several points of this type would only complicate further calculations. The above assumption splits the interval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T$ into two subintervals $t_{0} \leqslant t<t^{*}$ and $t^{*}<t \leqslant T$, in which $u_{k}(t)$ are continuous. Accordingly, we shall denote by $x_{s}{ }^{-}(t), u_{k}{ }^{-}(t), \lambda_{s}{ }^{-}(t), \mu_{k}^{-}(t)$ the values of the functions defined above in the interval $t_{0} \leqslant t<t^{*}$ and by $u_{s}^{+}(t), u_{k}^{+}(t), \lambda_{s}^{+}(t), \mu_{k}^{+}(t)$ the same values in the interval $t^{*}<t \leqslant T$.

The formulation of the problem indicates that in the calculation of variation of the functional $I$ we should not vary the time $t$ entering explicitly, for instance, in Equations (1.1) and (1.2). Nevertheless, the existence of the limitations of the type (1.4) necessitates the variation of the abscissa of the end $T$. Therefore, we shall have to make a distinction between "the variation at the end", for instance $\delta x_{s}^{+}(T)$, and "the variation of the end", $\Delta x_{s}^{+}(T)$. The relations between them can be easily derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{s}^{+}(T)=\delta x_{s}^{+}(T)+\dot{x}_{s}^{+}(T) \delta T \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the variation $\Delta J$ we have the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta J=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)} \delta x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)+\left[\frac{\partial J}{\partial T}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)} \dot{x}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{+}(T)\right] \delta T \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analogous expression can be obtained for $\Delta \Phi_{l}$.
Similar remarks apply to the variations of functions at the point $t=t^{*}$ where $u_{k}(t)$ become discontinuous. Here it is also necessary to make a distinction between "the variation at the point", $\delta x_{s}{ }^{ \pm}\left(t^{*}\right)$, and "the variation of the point", $\Delta x_{s}{ }^{ \pm}\left(t^{*}\right)$. They are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{s}^{ \pm}\left(t^{*}\right)-\delta x_{s}^{ \pm}\left(t^{*}\right)+\dot{x}_{s}^{ \pm}\left(t^{*}\right) \delta t^{*} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can construct now the variation $\Delta I$. Omitting all the intermediate transformations, we write it in the final form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta I=\Delta J+\delta \int_{l_{0}}^{t_{0}^{*}}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s}^{-} g_{s}^{-}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_{k}^{-} \psi_{k}^{-}\right\} d t+\int_{i^{+}}^{T}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s}^{+} g_{s}^{+}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_{k}^{+} \psi_{k}^{+}\right\} d t+ \\
+\Delta \sum_{l=1}^{p} \rho_{l} \Phi_{l}= \\
\int_{i_{0}}^{t_{0}^{*}}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \delta \lambda_{s}^{-}\left[\dot{x}_{s}^{-}-f_{s}\left(x_{1}^{-}, \ldots, x_{n}^{-}, u_{1}^{-}, \ldots, u_{m}^{-}, t\right)\right]-\right. \\
\\
\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta \mu_{k}{ }^{-} \psi_{k}\left(u_{1}^{-}, \ldots, u_{m^{-}}{ }^{-}, t\right)\right\} d t-
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{i_{0}}^{\ell^{*}}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \delta x_{s}{ }^{-}\left[\dot{\lambda}_{s}^{-}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{s}-} \lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{-}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta u_{k}-\left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s}-\frac{\partial /_{s}}{\partial u_{k}-}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{r} \mu_{\beta}-\frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial u_{k}{ }^{-}}\right]\right\} d t+ \\
& +\int_{i^{*}}^{T}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \delta \lambda_{s}{ }^{+}\left[\dot{x}_{s}{ }^{+}-f_{s}\left(x_{1}{ }^{+}, \ldots, x_{n}{ }^{+}, u_{1}{ }^{+}, \ldots, u_{m}{ }^{+}, t\right)\right]-\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta \mu_{k}{ }^{+} \psi_{k}\left(u_{1}{ }^{+}, \ldots, u_{m}{ }^{+}, t\right)\right\} d t-\int_{i=}^{T}\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{n} \delta x_{s}{ }^{+}\left[\dot{\lambda}_{s}{ }^{+}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{s}{ }^{+}} \lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{+}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta u_{k}{ }^{+}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s}{ }^{+} \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial u_{k}{ }^{+}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{r} \mu_{\beta}^{-+} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial u_{k}+}\right]\right\} d t+ \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left\{\lambda_{s}{ }^{+}(T)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \mathrm{p}_{l} \Phi_{l}\right]\right\} \delta x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)+\delta T \frac{d}{d T}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \mathrm{p}_{l} \Phi_{l}\right]+ \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)-\lambda_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right)\right] \Delta x_{s}\left(l^{*}\right)-\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{s}^{-}\left(l^{*}\right) \dot{x}_{s}^{-}\left(l^{*}\right)-\lambda_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right) \dot{x}_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right)\right] \delta t^{*} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It has been shown here that the intervals of the integrals may remain unvaried, because the integrands and $\delta t_{0}$ are equal to zero. The components containing $\delta \rho_{l}$ vanish for the same reason ( $\Phi_{l}=0$ ). In the derivation of Expression (2.5) the formulas of the integration by parts were used

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{i_{0}}^{t_{s}^{*}} \lambda_{s}^{-} \delta \dot{x}_{s}^{-} d t=\lambda_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right) \delta x_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)-\int_{i_{0}}^{t_{s}^{*}} \dot{\lambda}_{s}^{-}(t) \delta x_{s}^{-}(t) d t  \tag{2.6}\\
\int_{i^{*}}^{T} \lambda_{s}^{+} \delta \dot{x}_{s}^{+} d t=\lambda_{s}^{+}(T) \delta x_{s}^{+}(T)-\lambda_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right) \delta x_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right)-\int_{i^{*}}^{T} \dot{\lambda}_{s}^{+}(t) \delta x_{s}^{+}(t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

as well as the relations (2.4) and the conditions of continuity for the functions $x_{s}(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=x_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right), \quad \Delta x_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=\Delta x_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=\Delta x_{s}\left(t^{*}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note now that variations $\delta x_{s}{ }^{ \pm}(t), \delta \lambda_{s}{ }^{ \pm}(t)(s=1, \ldots, n)$, $\delta \mu_{k}^{ \pm}(t)(k=1, \ldots, r), \delta t^{*}, \delta T, \Delta x_{s}\left(t^{*}\right)(s=1, \ldots, n), 2(m-r)$ variations $\delta \mu_{k}^{ \pm}(t)$, and $n-p$ variations $\delta x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)$ are independent. Therefore, it is possible to determine $2 r$ Lagrangian multipliers $\mu_{k}{ }^{ \pm}(t)$ and $p$ constants $\rho_{l}$ in such a way that the coefficients of the dependent variations $\delta u_{k}{ }^{t}(t)$ and $p$ variations $\delta x_{s}{ }^{+}(T)$ become zero, and to assume the coefficients of the remaining independent variations equal to zero. After this operation we obtain the system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}_{s^{ \pm}}-f_{s}\left(x_{1}=, \ldots, x_{n} \pm, u_{1} \pm, \ldots, u_{m}^{ \pm}, t\right)=0 \quad(s=1, \ldots, n)  \tag{2.9}\\
\psi_{k}\left(u_{1} \pm, \ldots, u_{m}^{ \pm}, t\right)=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, r) \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

coinciding with (1.1) and (1.2), the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\lambda}_{s} \pm+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{s} \pm} \lambda_{\alpha} \pm=0 \quad(s=1, \ldots, n)  \tag{2.11}\\
\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s} \pm \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial u_{k^{ \pm}}}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{r} \mu_{\beta} \pm \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial u_{k} \pm}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, m) \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

the boundary conditions for the function $\lambda_{s}(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{s}^{+}(T)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{s}^{+}(T)}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \rho_{l} \Phi_{l}\right]=0 \quad(s=1, \ldots, n) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d T}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_{l} \Phi_{l}\right]=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Erdmann-Weierstrass conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{s}^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=\lambda_{s}^{+}\left(t^{*}\right) \quad(s=1, \therefore, n), \quad \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{s}^{-} x_{s}^{-}-\lambda_{s}^{+} x_{s}^{+}\right]_{t=t^{*}}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations should be complemented with the initial conditions (1.3), the continuity conditions (2.8) and Equations (1.4).

In this way, in order to determine $4 n+2 m+2 r$ functions $x_{s}{ }^{ \pm}(t)$, $\lambda_{s}{ }^{ \pm}(t), u_{k}{ }^{ \pm}(t), \mu_{k}{ }^{ \pm}(t)$, we have constructed $4 n$ differential equations of the first order (2.9) and (2.11), which introduce $4 n$ integration constants, $2 m$ relations (2.12), and $2 r$ relations (2.10). Thus, $4 n$ arbitrary constants, $p$ multipliers $\rho_{l}$, and the values of $t^{*}$ and $T$, altogether $4 n+$ $p+2$ quantities, remain unknown. To determine these quantities we have $n$ initial conditions (1.3), $n$ continuity conditions (2.8), $n$ boundary conditions (2.13), $n+1$ Erdmann-Weierstrass conditions (2.15), $p$ relations (1.4), and Equation (2.14). Their number is also $4 n+p+2$; therefore, the problem of determining an extremal may be completely solved.
3. Other forms of the above relations. If the Lagrangian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s} g_{s}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_{k} \psi_{k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is taken into consideration, then Equations (2.11) and (2.12) may be written in the form of ordinary Euler equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_{s}}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{s}}=0 \quad(s=1, \ldots, n), \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{k}}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots m) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

constructed in terms of this Lagrangian function. Similarly, the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial L / \partial \lambda_{s}=0, \quad(s=1, \ldots, n), \quad \partial L / \partial \mu_{k}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, r) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be established, which yield Equations (2.8) and (2.10).
The first $n$ of the Erdmann-Weierstrass conditions (2.15) may be also formulated in the form of the conditions of continuity of the derivatives of the Lagrangian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial L / \partial \dot{x}_{s}\right)_{t=t^{*}}^{-}=\left(\partial L / \partial \dot{x}_{s}\right)_{t=t^{*}}^{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the points of discontinuity of $u_{k}(t)$, and the last one of (2.15) may be replaced by the condition of continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\lambda}^{-}\right)_{t=t^{\bullet}}=\left(H_{\lambda}^{+}\right)_{t=t^{\bullet}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\lambda}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s} \dot{x}_{s}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s} f_{s}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, t\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function (3.6) is the basis of the maximum principle of Pontriagin in the theory of optimum systems $[3,4]$. We note here that in the presence of limitations of the type (1.2) optimum processes correspond to a weak extremum of the functional $H_{\lambda}$. This follows from Equations (2.12), which may be constructed in terms of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{\lambda}+H_{\mu}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \lambda_{s} f_{s}+\sum_{\beta=1}^{r} \mu_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}=H_{\lambda} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we note that Equations (2.9) and (2.11) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{s}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{s}}=\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_{s}} \quad \dot{\lambda}_{s}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{s}}=-\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}}{\partial x_{s}} \quad(s=1, \ldots, n) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used in the derivation of the maximm principle. Equations (2.10) and (2.12) assume a similar form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial H / \partial u_{k}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots, m), \quad \partial H / \partial \mu_{k}=0 \quad(k=1, \ldots r) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the condition (2.14). After certain elementary transformations it can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \rho_{l} \Phi_{l}\right]=\left.H_{\lambda .}\right|_{l=T}=\left.H\right|_{l=T} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when the functions $f_{s}$ and $\psi_{k}$ do not depend explicitly on time $t$, Equations (2.9) and (2.11) admit the first integral

$$
H=h=\mathrm{const}
$$

This may be easily derived by considering the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d t}=\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{s}}-\frac{\partial H}{d \lambda_{s}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{s}}\right) \equiv 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, instead of (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left[J+\sum_{l=1}^{p} \rho_{l} \Psi_{l}\right]=h=\mathrm{const} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we shall give a somewhat unusual matrix form of the relations (2.9) to (2.15). We introduce [5] the column matrices $x$ and $\lambda$ of the order $n$ and the column matrices $u$ and $\mu$ of the orders $m$ and $r$, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, & \lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\} \\
u=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}, & \mu=\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, we construct the column matrices $f$ and $\psi$ according to the rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}, \quad \psi=\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{r}\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the colum matrices of the differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right\}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u}:=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i n}}\right\} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the orders $n$ and $m$, respectively. Equations (2.9) to (2.12) may now be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}-f=0, \quad \dot{\psi}=0, \quad \dot{\lambda}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f^{\prime} \lambda=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[f^{\prime} \lambda+\psi^{\prime} \mu\right]=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where primes denote the operation of transposing. The initial conditions are represented as the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x^{\circ} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{\circ}$ is the column matrix of the initial values $x_{s}{ }^{\circ}$. Similar form is
obtained for the continuity conditions (2.8) and (2.15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=x^{+}\left(t^{*}\right), \quad \lambda^{-}\left(t^{*}\right)=\lambda^{+}\left(t^{*}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions (2.13) assume the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(T) \div \frac{\partial}{\partial x(T)}\left\{J+p^{\prime} \Phi\right\}=0,\left(p=\left\{p_{1} \ldots, \rho_{p}\right\}, \Phi:=\left\{\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{p}\right\}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\Phi$ are column matrices of the order $p$. The relation (2.14) has the form


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d T}\left[J+p^{\prime} \Phi\right]=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$ and instead of the equality (2.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{\prime} \cdot x\right)_{t=1}^{-}-\left(\lambda^{\prime} x\right)_{t=1 *}^{+}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the following expression may be given for $H$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{\lambda} \mid \quad H_{\mu}=\lambda^{\prime} f+\mu^{\prime} \psi \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the alternate formulation (3.5) for the condition (3.21) being preserved.
4. Linear differential equations. We shall consider now the optimization problem for a system of linear differential equations with constant coefficients [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{s}=\sum_{x=1}^{n} b_{s \alpha}, x_{x}: \sum_{b=1}^{m m^{\prime}} h_{s \xi} u_{\beta} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the functional $J$ of the general type (1.5) with the limitations

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\beta}^{(1)} \leqslant u_{\beta} \leqslant U_{\beta}^{(2)} \quad\left(\beta=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

imposed on the control parameters $u_{\beta}$. In order to take into account these limitations we introduce the functions [7,8]

$$
u_{\beta}=\chi_{f_{1},\left(u_{m^{\prime}}+\beta\right)} \quad\left(\beta=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right)
$$

satisfying the following requirements:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \chi_{\beta}}{d u_{m^{\prime}+\beta}^{\prime}} \neq 0, \quad U_{\beta}^{(1)}<\chi_{\beta}\left(u_{m^{\prime}+\beta}\right)<U_{\xi^{\prime}}^{(2)} \quad \text { for } U_{m^{\prime}+\beta}^{(1)}<u_{m^{\prime}+\beta}<U_{m^{\prime}+\beta}^{(2)}  \tag{4.3}\\
\frac{d \chi_{\beta}}{d u_{m^{\prime}+\beta}+\beta}=0 \quad\binom{\chi\left(u_{m^{\prime}+5}\right)=U_{\xi}^{(1)} \text { for } u_{m^{\prime}+\xi} \leqslant U_{m^{\prime}+\beta}^{(1)}}{\chi\left(u_{m^{\prime}+\beta}\right)=U_{\xi}^{(2)} \text { for } u_{m^{\prime}+5}^{(2)} \geqslant U_{m}+\beta}  \tag{4.4}\\
\left(\beta: 1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

An example of a diagram of such a function is shown in the figure (with $\left.m=m^{\prime}\right)$. We include $u_{m^{\prime}}+\beta\left(\beta=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right)$ into the number of control parameters, i.e. we consider that $m=2 m^{\prime}$; and we establish the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k}=u_{k}-\chi_{k}\left(u_{m^{\prime}+k}\right)=0 \quad\left(k=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimization problem has now exactly the same formulation as described in Section 1. With the aid of the functions $\chi_{k}$ and the conditions (4.5) we are able to get rid of the limitations (4.2), and thus to shift from the closed region of variation of the parameters $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m^{\prime}}$ to the open region of variation of the parameters $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m^{\prime}}, u_{m^{\prime}}+1, \ldots, u_{n}$.

Equations (4.1) and (4.5) can be written in the matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=b x+h u_{1}, \quad \psi=u_{1}-\chi\left(u_{11}\right)=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $x, y t, u=\left\{u_{\mathrm{I}} \mid u_{1 I}\right\}$ have the meaning explained above (see (3.13) and (3.14)), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{I}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m^{\prime}}\right\}, \quad u_{I I}=\left\{u_{m^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the submatrices of the column matrix $u$ [5]. The symbols $b$ and $h$ denote a square matrix of the order $n$ and a rectangular matrix $n \times m^{\prime}$.

$$
b=\left\|\left.\begin{array}{lll}
b_{11} & \ldots & b_{1 n}  \tag{4.8}\\
\ldots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array} \right\rvert\,, \quad h=\right\| \begin{array}{ccc}
h_{11} & \ldots & h_{1 m^{\prime}} \\
b_{n 1} & \ldots & b_{n n}
\end{array}\left\|, \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

With the aid of the relations (3.14) we construct the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}+b^{\prime} \lambda=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determines the column $\lambda$, and we write the matrix of the differential operators $\partial / \partial u$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}: \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{11}}\right\}-\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m^{\prime}}}: \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m^{\prime}+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m}}\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of the equality (3.16) we have
$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\mathrm{I}}}\left[x^{\prime} b+u_{\mathrm{I}}^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right] \lambda+\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\mathrm{I}}}\left[u_{\mathrm{I}}^{\prime}-\chi^{\prime}\left(u_{\mathrm{II}}\right)\right] \mu=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{I \mathrm{I}}} \chi_{\mathrm{I}^{\prime}}\left(u_{\mathrm{II}}\right) \mu=0$
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime} \lambda+\mu-0 \quad \frac{\partial \chi^{\prime}\left(u_{\mathrm{II}}\right)}{\partial u_{\mathrm{II}}} \mu=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix

$$
\frac{\partial \chi^{\prime}\left(u_{11}\right)}{\partial u_{\mathrm{II}}}=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{d \chi_{\mathrm{I}}}{d u_{m^{\prime}+1}} & \cdots & 0  \tag{4.1.3}\\
\cdot \cdots \cdot & \cdots & \dot{c}^{\cdot} \\
0 & \cdots & \frac{d \chi_{m^{\prime}}}{d u_{2 m^{\prime}}}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

is diagonal.
The solution of Equation (4.6) has the following form [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=M\left(t-t_{0}\right) x^{a}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} M(l-\tau) h u_{\mathrm{I}}(\tau) d \tau \quad\left(M(t)=e^{b t}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=M^{\prime}(T-t) \lambda(T) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the solution of Equation (4.9), satisfying the boundary condition for $t=T$. Substituting it into the relation (4.12), we obtain

$$
h^{\prime} M^{\prime}(T-t) \lambda(T)+\mu=0
$$

Hence we find*

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=-h^{\prime} M^{\prime}(T-t) \lambda(T) \neq 0 \text { for } \lambda(T) \neq 0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the elements of the column $\mu$ are different from zero.
Now, on the basis of the equalities (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}(t) \frac{d \chi_{k}}{d u_{m^{\prime}+k}}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{d \chi_{k}}{d u_{m^{\prime}+k}}=0 \quad\left(k=1, \ldots m^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

since neither one of $\mu_{k}(t)$ is identically equal to zero: $\mu_{k}(t) \not \equiv 0(k=1$, ..., $m^{\prime}$ ).

Consequently, optimum processes in linear systems have an important property: the control parameters in such processes assume only limit values

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=U_{k}^{(1)}, \quad \text { or } \quad u_{k}=U_{k}^{(2)} \quad\left(k=1, \ldots m^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Let us note that the solution (4.14) of Equation (4.16) for $u_{\mathrm{I}}=U=$ const assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=M\left(t-t_{0}\right) x^{\circ}+N\left(t-t_{0}\right) h U \quad\left(N(t)=\int_{0} M(\tau) d \tau\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall investigate now the continuity condition (3.5) of the function $H$ which, in the case being considered, has the form

$$
H_{\lambda}=\lambda^{\prime} b x+\lambda^{\prime} h u_{1}
$$

Only its second term

$$
\lambda^{\prime} h u_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(\lambda^{\prime} h_{k}\right) u_{k}
$$

may be discontinuous.
Here $h_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th column of the matrix $h$. Therefore, the discontinuities of the control parameters $u_{k}(t)$ may exist only for $t=t^{*}$ where the function $\lambda^{\prime}(t) h_{k}$ is equal to zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right) h_{k}=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and only the parameter $u_{k}(t)$ becomes discontinuous unless, obviously, any other column $h_{\alpha}$ satisfies an equation of the type (4.20) for $t=t^{*}$.

The results obtained allow for proof of a known [10] theorem of $n$ intervals. For this purpose it is necessary to consider Equations (4.1) with one parameter $u_{1}=u$ under the assumption that the principal values of the matrix $b$ are all real. The diagram of the function $\lambda^{\prime}(t) h_{1}=$ $\lambda^{\prime}(t) h$ then has not more than $n-1$ intersections with the $t$-axis on an arbitrary finite interval of time. Consequently, on the interval $t_{0} \leqslant$ $t \leqslant T$ the parameter $u(t)$ cannot have more than $n-1$ discontinuities, and the total interval is divided into $n$ subintervals in which the parameter $u(t)$ assumes either one of its limit values $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$.

Repeating similar arguments for systems with $m^{\prime}$ parameters $u_{k}(t)$ ( $k=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$ ), we obtain a generalization of this theorem. For optimum processes in such systems, if their characteristic equations have real roots only, the interval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T$ is divided into $m^{\prime} n$ subintervals in which each of the control parameters assumes one of its limit values $U_{k}{ }^{(1)}$ or $U_{k}{ }^{(2)}$.

Let us note that the results obtained are valid for an arbitrary form of the functional $J$. Some of them can be extended over nonlinear systems [8].

Here, as well as in Section 5, only results which are valid for linear systems are presented. A complete solution of the optimum problem with respect to high-speed performance is given in [6].
5. Examples. We shall consider the problem of optimum transient time for the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}=b_{x} \mp h_{u} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with one control parameter $u$. In this equation, $x$ and $h$ are one-column matrices of the order $n$, and $b$ is a square matrix of the same order $n$. The functional $I$ is to be taken in the form $J=T$, while the conditions (1.4) may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dagger=x(T)-x^{T}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial conditions for $x$ are given by the relations (3.17). The boundary conditions for the functions $\lambda_{s}(t)$ may be written in the form of one matrix relation $\lambda(T)=-\rho$. This last relation and the equalities (4.17) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)-M^{\prime}(T-t)! \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus in order to determine the instants of time $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{g-1}$ corresponding to the switches of the control $u(t)$, we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right) h=:-\because \cdot V\left(T-t_{i}\right) h=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total interval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant T$ splits into the subintervals $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{1}$, $t_{1} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{2}, \ldots, t_{q-1} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{q}-T$, and for each of them a solution can be constructed

$$
t_{i} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{i+1}, \quad r(t)=M\left(t-t_{i}\right) x_{i}+N\left(t-t_{i}\right) h U
$$

where the notation $x_{i}=x\left(t_{i}\right)$ is used, and it is assumed that $U=$ const. At the end of ith interval we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=u\left(t_{i+1}\right)=M\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right) x_{i} \div V\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right) h_{i} U \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be specific, we assume that on the first subinterval $t_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant t_{1}$ the control parameter $u(t)=U_{1}$. On the second interval it is equal to $U_{2}$, on the third it is again equal to $U_{1}$, and so on. Writing the equalities of the type (5.5) for each subinterval and eliminating $x_{i}, i=1$, $\ldots, q-1$, we obtain the following formula for an even $q=2 q^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{q}=x_{2 q^{\prime}}-x(T)=M\left(T-t_{0}\right) x^{0}+ \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} M\left(T-t_{2 i-1}\right) N\left(t_{\underline{1}-1}-t_{\underline{2 i-2}}\right) h_{1} U_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} M\left(T-t_{\underline{2} i}\right) V\left(t_{2 i}-t_{2 i-1}\right) h U_{2}=x^{T} \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for an odd $q=2 q^{\prime}+1$

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{q}=x_{2 q+1}=x(T)=M\left(T-t_{0}\right) x^{0}+  \tag{5.7}\\
+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}+1} M\left(T-t_{\underline{2} i-1}\right) V\left(t_{2 i-1}-t_{2 i-i-1}\right) h U_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} M\left(T-t_{2 i}\right) N\left(t_{2 i}-t_{2 i-1}\right) h U_{2}-x^{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similar expressions could be written for the case of $u=U_{2}$ on the first subinterval. They follow from Expressions (5.6) and (5.7) if $U_{1}$ is replaced by $U_{2}$ and vice-versa. If there is no principal value of the matrix $b$ equal to zero, the matrix $N(t)$ may be represented in the form

$$
I(t)=b^{-1}[M(t)-1]
$$

where $I$ is the unit matrix. Thus, instead, for instance, of Expression (5.6) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(T)=x_{2 q^{\prime}}=M\left(T-t_{0}\right) x^{\circ}+b^{1: M\left(T \cdots t_{0}\right) h U_{1}-b^{-1} h V_{2}-+} \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} M\left(T-t_{2 i-1}\right) b^{-1} h\left(U_{2}-U_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{4^{\prime} \cdots 1} M\left(T-t_{2 i}\right) b^{-1} h\left(U_{1}-U_{9}\right)=x^{T} \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

If there are no multiple principal values of the matrix $b$ [5], then

$$
b=c \Delta c^{-1}, \quad . M(l)=e^{b t}=c e^{\mathrm{At}} c^{-1}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix of the principal values $\lambda_{i}$ of the matrix b. Expression (5.8) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
c^{-1} x(T)=e^{\Lambda\left(T-t_{0}\right)^{0}}+e^{. \Lambda\left(T-t_{0}\right)} \Lambda^{-1} h^{\circ} H_{1}-\Lambda^{-1} h^{\circ} U_{2}+ \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} e^{\Lambda\left(T-t_{2} i-1\right)} \Lambda^{-1} h^{\circ}\left(U_{2}-U_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}-1} e^{\Lambda\left(T-t_{2 i}\right)} \Lambda^{-1} h^{\circ}\left(U_{1}-U_{2}\right)=z^{\prime T}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here

$$
z^{\circ}=c^{-1} x^{\circ}, \quad z^{T}=c^{-1} x^{T}, \quad h^{\circ} \fallingdotseq c^{-1} h
$$

The same result in scalar form is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\lambda j\left(T-I_{0}\right)} z_{j}^{c}+e^{\lambda, j\left(T-I_{0}\right)} \frac{h_{i}}{\lambda_{j}} L_{1}-\frac{h_{j}{ }^{0}}{\lambda_{j}} L_{2}+ \\
& \dot{\top} \sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} \frac{h_{j}^{\circ}}{\lambda_{j}} e^{\lambda_{j}\left(T-\iota_{2 i-1}\right)}\left(U_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}-1} \frac{h_{j}^{-}}{\lambda_{j}} e^{\lambda_{j}\left(T t_{2 i}\right)}\left(L_{1}-U_{2}\right)=z_{j}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $z_{j}{ }^{\circ}, z_{j}{ }^{T}$, and $h_{j}{ }^{\circ}$ denote the $j$ th elements of the respective columns. In a similar way the remaining relations may be transformed into scalar form.

In order to solve the problem of optimum transient time, it is necessary to select the values $\rho_{i}$ of the column $\rho$, which determine the instants of switching the control $u(t)$, in such a way that for $t=T$ the relations of the type (5.6) or (5.7) are satisfied. But in some cases one can avold this lengthy process of calculation and limit oneself to the solution of Equation (5.6) or (5.7).

To clarify this, let us consider a simple problem of optimum transient time with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1} \leqslant \ddot{x} \leqslant U_{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be reduced to the problem discussed above by introducing the following notations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}_{1}=x, \quad x_{2}=-\dot{x} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and dealing with the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{1}=x_{2}, \quad \dot{x}_{2}=u \quad\left(U_{1} \leqslant u(t) \leqslant U_{2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices $b, M(t)$, and $N(t)$ are now of the form

$$
b=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{5.12}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right\|, \quad M(t)=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right\|, \quad N(1)=\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
t & 1_{2} t^{2}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

Substituting them into the equation obtained from (5.6) with $t_{0}=0$ and $q^{\prime}=1$

$$
x_{2}=M(T) x^{0}+M\left(T-t_{1}\right) N\left(t_{1}\right) h L_{1}-N\left(T-t_{1}\right) h U_{2}=r^{T}
$$

we obtain two scalar equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}{ }^{\circ}+T x_{2}{ }^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2} T^{2} L_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(T-t_{1} 1^{2}\left(U_{2}-l_{1}\right)=r_{1}{ }^{T}\right.  \tag{5.13}\\
x_{2}{ }^{0}+T C_{1} \because\left(T-t_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}-\ell_{1}\right)-r_{2}^{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

Their solution has the form
$T=-\left(\frac{x_{2}{ }^{c}}{U_{1}}-\frac{x_{2}{ }^{T}}{U_{2}}\right) \mp\left(\frac{1}{U_{1}{ }^{2}}\left(1-\frac{U_{1}}{U_{2}}\right)\left[\left(x_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right)^{2}-\frac{U_{1}}{U_{2}}\left(x_{2}{ }^{T}\right)^{2}-2 U_{1}\left(x_{1}{ }^{c}-x_{1}{ }^{T}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{"}}\right.$
$T-t_{1}=\frac{x_{2}{ }^{T}}{U_{2}} \mp \frac{1}{U_{2}-U_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{U_{1}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{U_{1}}{U_{2}}\right)\left[\left(x_{2}{ }^{c}\right)^{2}-\frac{L_{1}}{U_{2}}\left(x_{2}{ }^{T}\right)^{2}-2 U_{1}\left(x_{1}{ }^{c}-x_{1}^{T}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
From the values of $T$ given by (5.14) the smaller one should be taken into account. Thus, the problem of synthesis of an optimum system may be solved with Expressions (5.14) and (5.15). The results are well known [10] and they will not be repeated here. In this simple case, it was possible to solve the optimization problem without the use of the relations (5.4).

We shall consider now the optimization problem of the linear system (4.1) with the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k_{i}} x_{i}(T) x_{k}(T) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the definite quadratic form of the coordinates $x_{s}(T)$ at the fixed time $t=T$. There are no limitations imposed on their values. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(T)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x(T)} J=-a x(T) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and repeating the calculations described above we obtain the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(T) a M\left(T-t_{i}\right) h=0 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determines the instants of time $t_{i}$ of switching the control $u(t)$. Substituting $x(T)$ from, for instance, the relation (5.6) into (5.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{x^{\circ \prime} M^{\prime}\left(T-t_{0}\right)+U_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} h^{\prime} N^{\prime}\left(t_{2 i-1}-t_{2 i-2}\right) M^{\prime}\left(T-t_{2 i-1}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+U_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} h^{\prime} N^{\prime}\left(t_{2 i}-t_{2 i-1}\right) M^{\prime}\left(T-t_{2 i}\right)\right\} a . M\left(T-t_{j}\right) h=0  \tag{5.19}\\
\left(j=1, \ldots, 2 q^{\prime}-1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In a similar way the equations corresponding to odd $q=l q^{\prime}+1$ (5.7) or the other sequence of switching controls may be obtained.

In the preceding example of the system (5.11) we had $q^{\prime}=1$. From (5.19) we obtain one equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} a_{11}\left(U_{2}-U_{1}\right)\left(T-t_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{3}{2} a_{12}\left(U_{2}-U_{1}\right)\left(T-t_{1}\right)^{2}+ \\
& +\left[\left(x_{1}^{\circ}+T x_{2}{ }^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2} T^{2} U_{1}\right) a_{11}+\left(x_{2}^{\circ}+T U_{1}\right) a_{12}\right]\left(T-t_{1}\right)+  \tag{5.20}\\
& \quad+a_{12}\left(x_{1}^{\circ}+T x_{2}{ }^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2} T^{2} U_{1}\right)+a_{22}\left(x_{2}{ }^{\circ}+T U_{1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

which determines the instant of time of switching the control $u(t)$.
If the square of the coordinate $x_{1}{ }^{2}(T)=x^{2}(T)$ is minimized, then the coefficients $a_{12}=a_{22}=0$ and we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T-\iota_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{U_{1}-U_{2}^{-}}\left(2 x_{1}^{0}+2 T r_{2}^{2}-T^{2} U_{1}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the value of $t_{1}$ can be easily determined.
In conclusion, the author wishes to express his gratitude to A.I.Lur'e
for his help in this work.
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[^0]:    * We assume that Equation (4.6) is not degenerate [6].

